

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Okay.

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Now, you would agree then, would you not, that  
5 this would at least be prima facie evidence,  
6 Krenz's affidavit, that, one, the expert summary  
7 provided was false?

8 A. It would seem like that.

9 Q. And you would also agree that this would also  
10 be -- Krenz's affidavit is contradicted by his  
11 sworn testimony?

12 A. That would seem so.

13 Q. And you would agree that if Krenz's sworn  
14 testimony is that to a reasonable degree of  
15 scientific certainty the brakes had never been  
16 locked and he says here in his -- in his sworn  
17 affidavit that the brakes had never -- that --  
18 that he said the brakes were locked or near  
19 locked, that that means basically both sworn  
20 statements cannot both be true?

21 A. That's a reasonable conclusion to reach.

22 Q. In fact, there's no other conclusion to reach, is  
23 there?

24 A. Probably not.

25 Q. Okay.