

1 A. -- to your knowledge and he thought that they were
2 and he testified that he -- that they weren't, it
3 sounds like a contradiction in facts that -- that
4 would very likely be false.

5 Q. Okay. Don't you agree that that has been
6 absolutely established in this case? Now, go up
7 to his testimony to what he said. Isn't it true
8 that when Krenz -- go up to that paragraph. Isn't
9 it true that when Krenz testified on April 7th,
10 2003, he said that to a reasonable degree of
11 scientific certainty there was no evidence that
12 the brakes had been locked?

13 A. Uh-huh.

14 Q. Okay? And would you not agree that this -- just
15 on that specific -- ~~that narrow, narrow issue,~~
16 that was Krenz has admitted later on that that was
17 not his true opinion?

18 A. Okay. As it relates to the fact of the wheel
19 locking, I agree. That appears to be a
20 difference. I think we talked about this the
21 other day.

22 Q. All right.

23 A. There's just a little wrinkle about locked or
24 nearly locked wheel braking, uh-huh.

25 Q. So you would agree just on that narrow issue Krenz