

1 testimony as shown on Exhibit No. 17?

2 A You'll have to direct me to the exact lines again so I
3 can compare them.

4 Q Sure, we can do that. It's pretty simple.

5 A They appear to be inconsistent.

6 Q Not inconsistent, they are in direct contradiction,
7 correct?

8 A I wouldn't say direct, but I would say they don't mesh
9 up. I would say they're inconsistent.

10 Q Why would you say it's not in direct contraction?

11 A Because wording is not identical.

12 Q So the concepts are in direct contradiction?

13 A Right.

14 Q Okay. Good. Did you ever ask Robert Krenz to why he
15 would have testified on April 7, 2003, in a matter
16 directly contradictory to what he testified to on
17 April 8, 2005?

18 A I don't have a specific recollection one way or
19 another.

20 Q You would agree, would you not, that if a
21 district attorney put an expert witness up to commit
22 false -- strike that.

23 You would agree, would you not, that if a
24 district attorney had an expert testify falsely, that
25 would be malfeasance?